Extinction correction:
SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick,
1989)
Extinction coefficient:
0.0030(1)
Atomic scattering factors
from International Tables
for X-ray Crystallography
(1974, Vol. IV, Table
2.3.1)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

x	у	Z	$U_{eq}$
0	0.32466(1)	1/4	0.0106 (1)
0	0	0	0.0103 (2)
0.3195 (2)	0.4548 (1)	0.0870(1)	0.0169 (6)
0	0.1337 (2)	0.0579 (2)	0.017(1)
0.2064 (3)	0.4266 (2)	0.1382(1)	0.0267 (8)
0	0.2148 (2)	0.0938 (2)	0.027(1)
0.2649 (4)	0.2132 (3)	1/4	0.036 (2)
0	0.6544 (2)	0.0997 (2)	0.035 (2)
	x 0 0,3195 (2) 0 0,2064 (3) 0 0,2649 (4) 0	$\begin{array}{cccc} x & y \\ 0 & 0.32466 (1) \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0.3195 (2) & 0.4548 (1) \\ 0 & 0.1337 (2) \\ 0.2064 (3) & 0.4266 (2) \\ 0 & 0.2148 (2) \\ 0.2649 (4) & 0.2132 (3) \\ 0 & 0.6544 (2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

## Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

CoC1 <sup>i</sup>	1.886 (2)	Nd01	2.429 (3)
Co-C2	1.885 (3)	C1—N1	1.153 (3)
Nd—N1	2.531 (2)	C2—N2	1.149 (4)
Nd—N2	2.563 (3)		
N1—Nd—N1 <sup>ii</sup>	74.7 (1)	Cl <sup>i</sup> —Co—Cl <sup>vii</sup>	90.6 (1)
N1—Nd—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	117.9(1)	C1 <sup>i</sup> —Co—C1 <sup>viii</sup>	180.0(1)
N1—Nd—N1"	74.5 (1)	C1 <sup>i</sup> —Co—C2 <sup>ix</sup>	89.4 (1)
N1—Nd—N2	77.0(1)	C1 <sup>i</sup> —Co—C2	90.6 (1)
N1—Nd—N2 <sup>v</sup>	142.2 (1)	C2—Co—C2 <sup>ix</sup>	180.0 (1)
N1-Nd01	79.2 (1)	Co <sup>x</sup> —C1—N1	178.3 (2)
N1-Nd01 <sup>iii</sup>	142.4 (1)	Co-C2-N2	179.6 (3)
N2—Nd—N2 <sup>v</sup>	113.5(1)	NdN1C1	166.4 (2)
N2-Nd-01	71.2(1)	Nd—N2—C2	148.6 (2)
O1-Nd-O1"	108.2 (1)	O2 <sup>xi</sup> O1O2 <sup>xii</sup>	94.7 (1)
C1 <sup>i</sup> —Co—C1 <sup>vi</sup>	89.4 (1)	01 <sup>xiii</sup> 0201 <sup>xiv</sup>	77.0(1)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$ ; (ii)  $x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (iii)  $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (iv) -x, y, z; (v)  $x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$ ; (vi)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$ ; (vii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$ ; (viii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$ ; (ix) -x, -y, -z; (x)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z$ ; (xi)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$ ; (xii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (xiii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + y, z$ ; (xiv)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ .

A conoscopic rotational examination using two crossed polarizers on a Zeiss Photomicroscope II confirmed the optical quality and biaxial nature of the crystal. A Mattson FTIR spectrometer using the KBr pressed pellet method was employed to obtain pertinent spectral data. The water molecules of hydration [3.9 (1) water molecules per formula unit] were verified by a thermal gravimetric analysis (Perkin-Elmer TGS-1). Excess maximum and minimum density in the difference Fourier is in the area of the heavy lanthanide atom. The structure was checked for additional symmetry by the *MISSYM* program (Le Page, 1987, 1988; Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989).

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1988). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: SDP (Enraf-Nonius, 1985). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1989). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL-Plus. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus XP. Software used to prepare material for publication: CIF-GEN (local program).

©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The authors acknowledge the financial support of The Robert A. Welch Foundation (grant AA-0668) and Baylor University.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BR1115). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## References

- Bonet, M. C. & Pâris, R. A. (1975). Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 5, 1067–1070.
- Enraf-Nonius (1985). Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Enraf-Nonius (1988). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Hulliger, F., Landolt, M. & Vetsch, H. (1976). J. Solid State Chem. 18, 307-312.
- James, C. & Willand, P. S. (1916). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 38, 1497-1500.
- Le Page, Y. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 264-269.
- Le Page, Y. (1988). J. Appl. Cryst. 21, 983-984.
- Mullica, D. F., Milligan, W. O. & Kouba, W. T. (1979). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 41, 967–973.
- Mullica, D. F. & Sappenfield, E. L. (1989). J. Solid State Chem. 82, 168-171.
- Mullica, D. F. & Sappenfield, E. L. (1991). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 21, 529-532.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1989). SHELXTL-Plus. PC version. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 63-66

# Anhydrous *trans*-(Aniline)chlorobis(dimethylglyoximato)cobalt(III)

Xavier Solans,<sup>a</sup> Mercè Font-Bardia,<sup>a</sup> Concepción López<sup>b</sup> and Santiago Alvarez<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department Cristallografia i Mineralogia, Universitat de Barcelona, Martíi Franqués s/n, 08028-Barcelona, Spain, and <sup>b</sup>Department Química Inorgànica, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028-Barcelona, Spain

(Received 14 November 1994; accepted 17 July 1995)

### Abstract

The crystal structure of anhydrous *trans*-(aniline)chlorobis[dimethylglyoximato(1–)]cobalt(III), [CoCl- $(C_4H_7N_2O_2)_2(C_6H_7N)$ ], has been determined. The Co ion shows distorted octahedral coordination with the N atoms of the dimethylglyoximato ligands in the equatorial sites and the N atom of aniline and the Cl atom in the apical sites. From the values of the Co—N distances and the N—Co—N angles it is deduced that both equatorial ligands are dimethylglyoximato(1–), while in the previously determined dihydrated compound the protonation sites are such as to give a (dimethylglyoxime)[dimethylglyoximato(2–)]cobalt complex.

## Comment

The chemistry, spectral and structural properties of *trans*-bis(ligand)bis(dimethylglyoximato)cobalt(III) complexes of the type  $[Co(dmgH)_2X(L)]$  have been explored extensively in recent years (Bresciani-Pahor et al., 1985; Toscano & Marzilli, 1984; Samus & Ablov, 1979; Chakravorty, 1974) because of interest in their use as models for important biochemical complexes (Schrauzer & Kohnle, 1964; Schrauzer, 1968; Dolphin, 1982) as well as their usefulness as templates in many organic syntheses (Langer, 1984) or as catalysts in chemical processes (Rockenbauer, Eyor, Kwiecinski & Tyrlik, 1982; Noguchi & Kambara, 1963; Nemeth & Simandi, 1982). In the course of our work on cobaloxime compounds (López, Alvarez, Aguiló, Solans & Font-Altaba, 1987; López, Alvarez, Solans & Aguiló, 1987, and references therein) we isolated the title compound, (I), with a different state of hydration to that reported previously. The crystal structure of the dihydrate was reported by Botoshanski, Simonov, Malinovskii, Ablov & Bologa (1975). We now compare the results of the crystal structure determination of the anhydrous compound with those obtained for the dihydrate compound.



Fig. 1 shows an *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1976; Brueggemann & Schmid, 1990) drawing of the molecule. The Co ion has distorted octahedral coordination from two dimethylglyoximato ligands in the equatorial positions, and the Cl atom and N atom of aniline in the apical sites. The CoN<sub>4</sub>(glyoximato) system is planar with the largest deviations from the mean plane being -0.006 (9) for molecule A and 0.022 (9) Å for molecule B. The N(glyoximato)—Co—N(aniline) bond angle varies from 87.8 (4) to 93.9 (4)° in the two molecules of (I) compound, and from 88.9 to 90.8° in the dihydrate compound (Botoshanski *et al.*, 1975).

In the dihydrate compound the two H atoms linked to the O atoms in the dimethylglyoximato moiety



Fig. 1. Molecular structure showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

were found to be bound to the O atoms of the same ligand, so that the dihydrate compound must be formulated as [Cl(aniline)(dmgH<sub>2</sub>)(dmg)Co], analogous to [(H<sub>2</sub>O)(CN)(dmgH<sub>2</sub>)(dmg)Co] (Solans, Font-Altaba & Briansó, 1983) and [Cl(dimethylpyrazine)(gH<sub>2</sub>)(g)Co] (g = glyoximato) (López, Alvarez, Solans & Font-Altaba, 1986). A comparative study of bond distances and angles has been carried out for 27 structures of type  $[(X)(L)(Y_2gH)_2Co]$  (Y = H, CH<sub>3</sub> or C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) retrieved from the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen et al., 1979). The Co-N(glyoximato) bond length shows two mean values: 1.879 (9) for Co-NO and 1.903 (10) Å for Co-NOH. The N(glyoximato)-Co-N(glyoximato) angle for the N atoms of the same ligand shows three mean values: 80.0(8) for Co(Yg); 80.9(7) for Co(YgH) and  $81.8(6)^{\circ}$  for Co(YgH<sub>2</sub>). The differences between these values are not statistically significant, but they do suggest the formulation of the title compound.

## Experimental

1.81 g (5 mmol) of *trans*-[Co(dmgH)(dmgH<sub>2</sub>)Cl<sub>2</sub>] (Reigl & Rubistein, 1923; Trogler, Stewart, Epps & Marzilli, 1974) were added to an ethanolic solution (75 ml) of KOH (0.28 g, 5 mmol). When most of the starting material had dissolved, 0.5 ml (5.2 mmol) of aniline were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The brown precipitate formed was collected by filtration, washed with three (10 ml) portions of water and air-dried. The crystals were grown by slow evaporation at 277 K of an acetone/water (10:1) solution of the complex.

### Crystal data

[CoCl(C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N)] Mo 
$$K\alpha$$
 radiation  
 $M_r = 417.72$   $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å

# XAVIER SOLANS et al.

Monocl	inic		Cell parameters f	rom 25	Cl(B)	0.4089 (2)	0.9601 (	3) 0.1501 (2)	0.041 (1)
$P2_{1}/c$			reflections		O(1 <i>B</i> )	0.2779 (4)	0.7006 (	7) 0.0799 (5)	0.039 (2)
a = 10.020 (4)  Å $A$		$\theta = 8 - 12^{\circ}$		O(2B)	0.4566 (4)	0.8855 (	/) 0.3000 (0) %) 0.3200 (0)	0.043(2)	
u = 19.929 (4) A		$\mu = 1.155 \text{ mm}^{-1}$		O(3B)	0.3031 (4)	0.8283 (	0.3322(3) 8) 0.0472(5)	0.049(2) 0.055(2)	
v = 10.0	33(3) A		$\mu = 1.155$ mm T = 203(2) K		N(1B)	0.3107(4)	0.7536 (	8) 0.1535 (6)	0.028 (2)
c = 18.	334(4) A		P = 275(2) R		N(2B)	0.4005 (5)	0.8422 (	7) 0.2868 (5)	0.027 (2)
$\beta = 11$	7.28 (3)°			05	N(3B)	0.5256 (5)	0.7854 (	9) 0.2548 (7)	0.040 (3)
V = 352	25.1 (14) A <sup>3</sup>		$0.08 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$	.05 mm	N(4 <i>B</i> )	0.4339 (5)	0.7036 (	8) 0.1195 (5)	0.033 (2)
Z = 8			Dark brown		N(5B)	0.4245 (5)	0.5980 (	8) 0.2473 (5)	0.041 (3)
$D_x = 1.$	567 Mg m <sup>-3</sup>				C(1B)	0.2795 (7)	0./8/8(	10) 0.1964 (7)	0.035(3)
					C(2B)	0.5568 (7)	0.8440 (	(11) 0.2709(8) (21) 0.2115(8)	0.047(4) 0.045(3)
Data co	ollection				C(3B) C(4R)	0.5308(0)	0.7578 (	9) 01309(7)	0.045(3)
Dhiling	DW/1100 four	airala	P = 0.027		C(5B)	0.1969 (6)	0.7758 (	12) 0.1686 (8)	0.064 (4)
Prinps	PW1100 Iour-	circle	$R_{\rm int} = 0.037$		C(6B)	0.3080 (7)	0.8971 (	12) 0.3347 (8)	0.052 (4)
diffra	ictometer		$\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$		C(7 <i>B</i> )	0.6409 (6)	0.7276 (	12) 0.2458 (8)	0.063 (4)
$\omega$ scans	5		$h = -22 \rightarrow 20$		C(8B)	0.5303 (7)	0.6600 (	12) 0.0724 (7)	0.053 (4)
Absorp	tion correction:	:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 12$		C(9B)	0.3970 (7)	0.5740 (	10) 0.3058 (7)	0.033 (3)
none			$l = 0 \rightarrow 18$		C(10B)	0.3203 (7)	0.53/9(	$\begin{array}{ccc} 11) & 0.2/3/(8) \\ 12) & 0.2200(0) \end{array}$	0.045(3)
2432 m	easured reflect	ions	3 standard reflect	ions	$C(\Pi B)$	0.2945 (8)	0.5200 (	12) 0.3309(9) 13) 0.4102(9)	0.055(4)
2129 in	dependent refle	ections	frequency: 120	) min	C(12B) C(13B)	0.3330(7) 0.4100(7)	0.5448	11) 0.4386(8)	0.054(4)
1006 of	served reflecti	one	intensity decay	none	C(13B) C(14B)	0.4408 (8)	0.5952 (	11) 0.3859 (8)	0.049 (4)
1900 00	2 - (D)	0115	intensity decay	. none	0(1.0)	011100(0)		,	
(1 >	$2\sigma(I)$				T	able 2. Sele	ected geom	etric parameters (	'Ă, °)
Rofinon	nent				Co(A)—N	(1A)	1.831 (10)	Co( <i>B</i> )—N(1 <i>B</i> )	1.904 (8)
Rejinen	2		<b>h</b>		Co(A)—N	(2A)	1.911 (8)	Co(B)— $N(2B)$	1.880 (8)
Refiner	nent on $F^2$		$w = 1/[\sigma^2(I) + (0$	.1016P) <sup>2</sup>	Co(A) - N	(3A)	1.845 (9)	Co(B)—N(3B)	1.920 (9)
R(F) =	0.041		+ 110.91P]		Co(A)—N	(4A)	1.913 (8)	Co(B)—N(4B)	1.859 (8)
$wR(F^2)$	= 0.095		where $P = ( F_{c} )$	$  ^{2} + 2 F_{c} ^{2})/3$	Co(A) - N	(5A)	2.010 (9)	Co(B) = N(5B)	2.012 (9)
S = 0.9	36		$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.8$		$Co(A) \rightarrow C$	I(A)	2.240 (3)	$Co(B) \rightarrow CI(B)$	2.257 (3)
1006 rc	flections		$\Delta a = 0.52 e^{A}$	-3	O(24) = N	(1A)	1.304 (12)	O(1B) = N(1B) O(2B) = N(2B)	1.339 (10)
147 mo	amatara		$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.52 \text{ cm}$	<b>Å</b> −3	O(3A) - N	(3A)	1.403 (11)	O(3B) - N(3B)	1.353 (12)
44/ pa	ameters		$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35  {\rm e}$	л :	O(4A)N	(4A)	1.337 (12)	O(4B) - N(4B)	1.333 (10)
38 H at	toms computed	using	Extinction correct		N(1A)-C	(1A)	1.283 (13)	N(1B)— $C(1B)$	1.260 (14)
ridin	g model and o	verall	SHELXL93 (Sr	ielarick,	N(2A)—C	(2A)	1.259 (14)	N(2B) - C(2B)	1.326 (13)
isotro	opic displacem	ent	1993)		N(3A)—C	(3A)	1.268 (13)	N(3B) - C(3B)	1.317 (14)
coeff	ficient (OH H		Atomic scattering	g factors	$N(4A) \rightarrow C$	(4A)	1.280 (14)	$N(4B) \rightarrow C(4B)$	1.32/(11)
atom	s not localized	nor	from Internation	onal Tables	$N(3A) \rightarrow C$	(9A) (2A)	1.403(11) 1.46(2)	$\Gamma(3B) \rightarrow C(9B)$	1.434(13) 1.49(2)
cons	idered in calcu	lations)	for Crystallogr	aphy (1992,	$C(1A) \rightarrow C$	(2/1)	1.40(2)	$C(1B) \rightarrow C(5B)$	1.49 (2)
		,	Vol. Č	1 5	$C(2A) \rightarrow C$	(5/1) (6A)	1.49(2)	$C(2B) \rightarrow C(6B)$	1.44 (2)
					C(3A)—C	(7A)	1.41 (2)	C(3B) - C(7B)	1.50 (2)
Table	1. Fractional	atomic of	coordinates and	equivalent	C(3A)—C	(4A)	1.46 (2)	C(3B)— $C(4B)$	1.415 (14)
	isotronic di	cnlacama	ont narameters ()	<sup>2</sup>	C(4A)—C	(8A)	1.549 (12)	C(4B)— $C(8B)$	1.460 (14)
	isoiropic ui	spiaceme	ni pur uniciers (7	. /	C(9A)—C	(10A)	1.42 (2)	C(9B) - C(10B)	1.42 (2)
	Um =	$=(1/3)\Sigma_i\Sigma_i$	$U_{ii}a^*a^*a_{ii}a_{ii}$		$C(9A) \rightarrow C$	(14A) C(10A)	1.339(13)	$C(9B) \rightarrow C(14B)$	1.34 (2)
	- 04	(-,-,-,-			C(12A)	$\Gamma(114)$	1.37(2) 1.36(2)	C(12B) = C(10B)	1.37(2) 1.32(2)
_	x	у	Z	$U_{\rm eq}$	C(12A)	C(12A)	1.42 (2)	C(12B) - C(12B) C(13B) - C(12B)	1.40 (2)
Co(A)	1.0799 (1)	1.1866 (1	0.2915 (1)	0.031 (1)	C(14A)-	C(13A)	1.366 (14)	C(14B)—C(13B)	1.37 (2)
CI(A)	1.0899 (2)	0.9964 (3	0.2501(2)	0.044(1)	N(24) C	o(A) = N(1A)	80.9 (5)	$N(2R) \longrightarrow C_0(R) \longrightarrow N(1R)$	814(4)
O(2A)	1.0300 (3)	1 2256 (8	0.4054(5) 0.3172(5)	0.032(2)	$N(1A) \rightarrow C$	o(A) - N(3A)	178.3 (4)	N(1B)— $Co(B)$ — $N(3B)$	) 179.5 (4)
O(3A)	1.1282 (4)	1.2920 (7	7) 0.1826 (5)	0.053 (2)	N(2A)—C	O(A) - N(3A)	100.7 (5)	N(2B)—Co(B)—N(3B	) 98.4 (4)
O(4A)	0.9300 (4)	1.1321 (8	3) 0.2650 (5)	0.045 (2)	N(1A)—C	Co(A)—N(4A)	97.7 (5)	N(1B)— $Co(B)$ — $N(4B)$	) 98.4 (4)
N(1A)	1.0902 (6)	1.1270 (9	9) 0.3896 (6)	0.046 (3)	N(2A)—C	Co(A) - N(4A)	178.5 (5)	N(2B)— $Co(B)$ — $N(4B)$	) 178.1 (4)
N(2A)	1.1875 (5)	1.1899 (8	3) 0.3524 (7)	0.036 (3)	N(3A)—C	O(A) - N(4A)	80.6 (5)	N(3B)— $Co(B)$ — $N(4B)$	) 81.8(4)
N(3A)	1.0664 (5)	1.2462 (9	(5) 0.1915 (5)	0.042 (3)	$N(1A) \rightarrow C$	$O(A) \rightarrow N(5A)$	90.8 (4)	N(1B) = Co(B) = N(5B)	87.8(4)
N(4A)	0.9/21 (4)	1.1800 (9	(0.2325(7)) $(0.2325(7))$	0.040(3)	N(2A) = 0	O(A) = N(SA)	90.9 (4) 80 2 (4)	N(2B) = CO(B) = N(5B) N(3B) = Co(B) = N(5B)	93.9(4)
N(5A)	1.0/34 (5)	1.3399 (8	0.3203(0)	0.034(3)	N(4A)(	O(A) = N(5A)	897(4)	$N(4B) \rightarrow Co(B) \rightarrow N(5B)$	88.0(4)
C(1A) C(2A)	1,1570 (8)	1.1537 (1	(0.447)(0)	0.052(4)	N(1A) - C	Co(A) - Cl(A)	91.1 (3)	N(1B)— $Co(B)$ — $Cl(B)$	91.7 (3)
C(3A)	1.0010 (7)	1.2687 (1	(11) 0.1341(7)	0.037 (3)	N(2A)-C	$Co(A) \rightarrow Cl(A)$	88.0 (3)	N(2B)— $Co(B)$ — $Cl(B)$	88.0 (3)
C(4A)	0.9465 (6)	1.2182 (1	10) 0.1585 (7)	0.032 (3)	N(3A)—0	$Co(A) \rightarrow Cl(A)$	88.9 (3)	N(3B)— $Co(B)$ — $Cl(B)$	88.8 (3)
C(5A)	1.1786 (7)	1.0782 (1	0.5377 (7)	0.054 (4)	N(4A)—C	Co(A) - Cl(A)	91.5 (3)	N(4B)— $Co(B)$ — $Cl(B)$	90.1 (3)
C(6A)	1.2994 (7)	1.1488 (1	14) 0.4790 (8)	0.077 (5)	N(5A)—0	Co(A) - Cl(A)	177.5 (3)	N(5B)— $Co(B)$ — $Cl(B)$	178.0(3)
C(7A)	0.9818 (8)	1.3115 (1	0.0542 (8)	0.067 (4)	C(1A) = N	$(1A) \rightarrow O(1A)$	119.3 (10)	C(1B) = N(1B) = O(1B)	) 127.3(10)
C(8A)	0.8600 (4)	1.2297 (6	$0, 0.1045 (4) \\ 0.4008 (4)$	0.039 (4)	O(14) = N	$a(1A) \rightarrow Co(A)$	117.2 (9)	O(1B) = N(1B) = O(B)	) 116.8(7)
C(9A)	1.1051 (4)	1.3913 (6	5) U.4098 (4)	0.050 (3)	$C(2A) = \mathbb{N}$	(1A) = CO(A)	122.3 (7)	C(2B) = N(2B) = O(2B)	) 119.4 (9)
C(10A)	1.1033(4)	1.4210 (0	(4) (1) 0.5365 (10)	0.052(4)	C(2A) = N	i(2A)—Co(A)	117.2 (9)	C(2B) - N(2B) - Co(B)	) 118.0 (8)
C(12A)	1.1721 (9)	1.4406 (1	10) 0.5770 (9)	0.062 (5)	O(2A)-N	(2A)—Co(A)	120.5 (8)	O(2B)—N(2B)—Co(B	) 122.5 (6)
C(13A)	1.0934 (8)	1.4182 (1	10) 0.5317 (8)	0.044 (3)	C(3A)—N	i(3A)—O(3A)	117.3 (10)	C(3B)N(3B)O(3B	) 124.1 (10)
C(14A)	1.0629 (7)	1.3951 (1	0.4494 (7)	0.042 (3)	C(3A)N	I(3A)—Co(A)	121.1 (9)	C(3B)— $N(3B)$ — $Co(B)$	) 113.8 (9)
Co(B)	0.4177 (1)	0.7700 (1	1) 0.2036 (1)	0.026(1)	O(3A)—N	(3A)—Co(A)	120.2 (7)	O(3B)— $N(3B)$ — $Co(B)$	) 121.7(8)

## $[CoCl(C_4H_7N_2O_2)_2(C_6H_7N)]$

C(4A)— $N(4A)$ — $O(4A)$	124.8 (9)	C(4B)— $N(4B)$ — $O(4B)$	119.8 (9)
C(4A)— $N(4A)$ — $Co(A)$	112.7 (9)	C(4B)— $N(4B)$ — $Co(B)$	116.7 (7)
O(4A) $N(4A)$ $Co(A)$	122.3 (8)	O(4B)— $N(4B)$ — $Co(B)$	123.1 (7)
C(9A)— $N(5A)$ — $Co(A)$	120.7 (6)	C(9B)— $N(5B)$ — $Co(B)$	119.2 (7)
N(1A) - C(1A) - C(2A)	113.8 (11)	N(1B) - C(1B) - C(2B)	115.8 (11)
N(1A) - C(1A) - C(5A)	125.4 (12)	N(1B) - C(1B) - C(5B)	123.0 (11)
C(2A) - C(1A) - C(5A)	120.4 (11)	C(2B) - C(1B) - C(5B)	121.2 (12)
N(2A) - C(2A) - C(1A)	110.8 (11)	N(2B) - C(2B) - C(1B)	108.8 (11)
N(2A) - C(2A) - C(6A)	122 (2)	N(2B) - C(2B) - C(6B)	124.7 (11)
C(1A) - C(2A) - C(6A)	126.7 (13)	C(1B) - C(2B) - C(6B)	126.5 (12)
N(3A) - C(3A) - C(7A)	127.5 (12)	N(3B) - C(3B) - C(7B)	121.4 (11)
N(3A) - C(3A) - C(4A)	107.5 (10)	N(3B) - C(3B) - C(4B)	114.3 (10)
C(7A) - C(3A) - C(4A)	123.8 (12)	C(7B) $C(3B)$ $C(4B)$	124.2 (11)
N(4A)-C(4A)-C(8A)	119.4 (10)	N(4B) - C(4B) - C(8B)	126.3 (10)
N(4A)-C(4A)-C(3A)	117.1 (10)	N(4B) - C(4B) - C(3B)	112.4 (9)
C(8A) - C(4A) - C(3A)	122.7 (10)	C(8B) - C(4B) - C(3B)	121.1 (10)
C(10A) - C(9A) - C(14A)	119.3 (6)	C(10B) - C(9B) - C(14B)	122.6 (12)
C(10A) - C(9A) - N(5A)	119.5 (5)	C(10B)—C(9B)—N(5B)	116.6 (11)
C(14A) - C(9A) - N(5A)	121.3 (9)	C(14B) - C(9B) - N(5B)	120.6 (11)
C(11A) - C(10A) - C(9A)	118.2 (7)	C(11B)-C(10B)-C(9B)	114.7 (12)
C(10A)C(11A)C(12A)	122.3 (12)	C(10B)C(11B)C(12B)	125.3 (13)
C(13A) - C(12A) - C(11A)	118.3 (13)	C(13B)C(12B)C(11B)	117.6 (13)
C(12A)C(13A)C(14A)	119.3 (12)	C(12B)C(13B)C(14B)	121.0 (13)
C(13A) - C(14A) - C(9A)	122.2 (11)	C(13B)C(14B)C(9B)	118.6 (13)

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares. A pseudo-orthorhombic cell exists with  $a_o = 18.334$ ,  $b_o = 35.425$ ,  $c_o = 10.855$  Å [transformation matrix: (001/201/010)]; space group *Cmca*, but the Laue class was monoclinic and  $R_{int}$  for the orthorhombic cell was 0.317, so the determination and refinement were carried out using the monoclinic cell. Owing to the small size of the crystal, a low number of non-negative intensities were collected and the resolution was of low accuracy.

Data collection: Philips diffractometer software. Cell refinement: Philips diffractometer software. Data reduction: *CFEO* (Solans, 1978). Structure solution: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Structure refinement: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1976; Brueggemann & Schmid, 1990). Preparation of material for publication: *CIFTAB* (Sheldrick, 1994).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1144). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Bellard, S., Brice, M. D., Cartwright, B. A., Doubleday, A., Higgs, H., Hummelink, T., Hummelink-Peters, B. G., Kennard, O., Motherwell, W. D. S., Rodgers, J. R. & Watson, D. G. (1979). *Acta Cryst.* B35, 2331–2339.
- Botoshanskii, M. M., Simonov, Yu. A., Malinovskii, T. I., Ablov, A. V. & Bologa, O. A. (1975). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 225, 323– 325.
- Bresciani-Pahor, N., Forcolin, M., Marzilli, L. G., Randaccio, M., Summers, M. F. & Toscano, P. J. (1985). Coord. Chem. Rev. 63, 1-9.
- Brueggemann, R. & Schmid, G. (1990). PC adaptation of ORTEP. University of Ulm, Germany.
- Chakravorty, A. (1974). Coord. Chem. Rev. 13, 1-43.
- Dolphin, D. (1982). Editor. B<sub>12</sub>, Vols. 1 and 2. New York: Wiley Interscience.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Langer, E. (1984). Editor. Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-weyl), 4th Edition, Vol. 13/9b, pp. 1–284. Stuggart: George Thieme Verlag.

©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- López, C., Alvarez, S., Aguiló, M., Solans, X. & Font-Altaba, M. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 127, 153-159.
  - López, C., Alvarez, S., Solans, X. & Aguiló, M. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 133, 101-105.
  - López, C., Alvarez, S., Solans, X. & Font-Altaba, M. (1986). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 121, 71-75.
  - Nemeth, S. & Simandi, L. (1982). J. Mol. Catal. 14, 87-93.
  - Noguchi, M., & Kambara, S. (1963). J. Polym. Sci. B, pp. 553-557.
  - Reigl, F. & Rubistein, H. (1923). Ann. Chim. Pharm. 433, 193-197.
  - Rockenbauer, A., Eyor, M., Kwiecinski, M. & Tyrlik, S. (1982). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 58, 237-241.
  - Samus, N. & Ablov, A. V. (1979). Coord. Chem. Rev. 28, 177-183.
  - Schrauzer, G. N. (1968). Acc. Chem. Res. 1, 97-103.
  - Schrauzer, G. N. & Kohnle, J. (1964). Chem. Ber. 97, 3056-3059.
  - Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
  - Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
  - Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). CIFTAB. University of Göttingen, Germany.
  - Solans, X. (1978). CFEO. University of Barcelona, Spain.
  - Solans, X., Font-Altaba, M. & Briansó, J. L. (1983). Z. Kristallogr. 162, 305-312.
  - Toscano, P. J. & Marzilli, L. G. (1984). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 31, 105-124.
  - Trogler, W. C., Stewart, R., Epps, L. A. & Marzilli, L. G. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 1564–1570.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 66-69

# *trans*-Tetracarbonylbis(tri-*tert*-butyl phosphite)molybdenum(0)

ELMER C. ALYEA, GEORGE FERGUSON AND SHU-QUAN SONG

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

(Received 28 April 1995; accepted 13 June 1995)

## Abstract

The Mo atom in the title compound,  $[Mo(CO)_4(C_{12}H_{27}-O_3P)_2]$ , lies on an inversion centre and has slightly distorted octahedral geometry, with principal dimensions Mo—P 2.4699 (7), Mo—C 2.027 (4), 2.031 (3) Å, P—Mo—P 180, *cis*-C—Mo—C 88.7 (2), C—Mo—P 86.12 (10) and 87.27 (9)°. The geometry about the P atom is distorted from tetrahedral, with Mo—P—O angles in the range 108.04 (9)–126.03 (10)° and reduced O—P—O angles in the range 96.71 (13)–106.09 (14)°. The average cone angle for the *tert*-butyl phosphite ligand is 156°.

## Comment

The title compound,  $[Mo(CO)_4{P(O'Bu)_3}_2]$ , (1), was synthesized during our investigations of numerous  $[Mo(CO)_{6-n}(PY_3)_n]$  (n = 1-3) complexes by <sup>95</sup>Mo